

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM**

**PRESENT :**

**THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE ANTONY DOMINIC**

**WEDNESDAY, THE 3RD OCTOBER 2007 / 11TH ASWINA 1929**

**WP(C).No. 27254 of 2007(T)**  
-----

**PETITIONERS:**  
-----

- 1. K. MOIDU, S/O. ABDULLA,  
GIRIBAGALU VILLAGE, GIRIBAGALU VILLAGE P.O.,  
KASARAGOD.**
- 2. K. HASINAR, S/O. ABDULLA,  
GIRIBAGALU VILLAGE, GIRIBAGALU VILLAGE P.O.,  
KASARAGOD.**

**BY ADV. SRI.T.B.SHAJIMON**

**RESPONDENTS:**  
-----

- 1. DIVISIONAL FOREST OFFICER,  
KANNUR DIVISION.**
- 2. THE GENERAL MANAGER,  
DISTRICT INDUSTRIES CENTRE, KASARAGOD.**
- 3. STATE OF KERALA,  
REP. BY ITS SECRETARY, INDUSTRIES DEPARTMENT,  
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.**
- 4. ASSISTANT DISTRICT INDUSTRIAL OFFICER,  
KASARAGOD.**
- 5. MR. MOHAMMED HANIFA, S/O. HAMEED,  
EDANAD POST, SEEDAMHOLLY, KASARAGOD.**
- 6. MR. MOHAMED IQBAL, S/O. HAMEED,  
EDANAD POST, SEEDAMHOLLY, KASARAGOD.**

**BY GOVERNMENT PLEADER SRI.RENJITH THAMPAN**

**THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION  
ON 03/10/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE  
FOLLOWING:**

**ANTONY DOMINIC, J.**  
=====

**W.P.(C) NO. 27254 OF 2007**  
=====

**Dated this the 3<sup>rd</sup> day of October, 2007**

**J U D G M E N T**

The grievance of the petitioners is that misusing the NOC that was issued in their favour, respondents 5 and 6 have obtained its renewal in their favour. Coming to know of the same, petitioners applied for a copy of the NOC thus renewed in favour of respondents 5 and 6. This has not been allowed and hence this writ petition.

2. I heard the learned Government Pleader.

3. In view of the allegation of the petitioners that it was misusing the NOC in their favour that respondents 5 and 6 had obtained its renewal, petitioners are justified in demanding a copy of the same. It is to enable them to pursue further action that they are seeking a copy. If that be so, there is no justification for its denial.

4. Taking into account the aforesaid circumstances, I dispose of the writ petition directing the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent to issue a

copy of the NOC stated to have been renewed in favour of respondents 5 and 6, if any, as expeditiously as possible, at any rate within a period of two weeks of receipt of a copy of this judgment.

Petitioners shall produce a copy of this judgment before the 1<sup>st</sup> respondent for compliance.

Writ petition is disposed of as above.

**ANTONY DOMINIC, JUDGE.**

Rp